Course Information

Intersectional Migration Studies: Bodies, Genders, Sexualities, 7,5 credits Course Code: 742A21, 742A37 Autumn 2018

2018-09-12

Course Coordinator: Anna Bredström, e-mail: anna.bredstrom@liu.se

AIM OF THE COURSE

After completion of the course the student shall, at an advanced level, be able to:

- account for and critically reflect on the concept of intersectionality
- demonstrate and critically reflect on the concepts of gender, sexuality and the body in relation to migration studies
- analyse a relevant topic within the field from an intersectional perspective

CONTENTS

The course provides both overviews and in-depth theoretical knowledge in the field of intersectional migration studies, with a particular emphasis on postcolonial feminist studies, intersectional queer theory and sociocultural perspectives on bodies and embodiment. The course surveys different themes where students read key readings in the field, and, through different exercises, learn to identify and analyse intersections of different social categories. A particular focus will be to investigate how gender, sexuality and the body intersect with the concepts of migration, ethnicity, race, culture and nation.

TEACHING

The course offers a combination of teaching methods including lectures, seminars, group and individual assignments. See LISAM for more specific instructions. The course ends with an individual paper examination.

Students are expected to be well prepared for each class, and attendance is firmly expected. Students should also pay attention to possible assignments that are to be completed prior to attending a seminar or workshop. Required readings are mandatory and should be read prior to class. Suggested readings can be used as complementary course literature, and for specific assignments and examination. Language of instruction is English.

Attendance

As at other institutions of higher education, the culture of learning at Linköping University builds entirely on the voluntary participation of the students in all scheduled events. Attendance at all scheduled master's seminars, lectures, tutorials and group work is therefore firmly expected. The quality of the program inevitably suffers if student participation is failing. Students who due to illness or other personal or private circumstances are prevented from attending class are asked to **notify the course director well in advance**. This will enable teachers to tailor lectures and seminar discussion seminar to the size of the class on each occasion.

Students are welcome and encouraged to attend open events in the REMESO research community, such as the REMESO seminars, PhD defenses and seminars, guest lectures and open workshops. They are also kindly asked to show courtesy in case seating at such events is limited. See https://liu.se/en/organisation/liu/isv/remeso for upcoming events.

Course evaluation

At the end of the course, students participate in course evaluations. The evaluation is anonymous and made by filling out a questionnaire generated by the university's course evaluation system, called Evaluate. Links to this questionnaire is sent to each student's e-mail account after examination on the course.

OVERVIEW OF THE COURSE

Week	Moment, literature, tasks
39	Monday 24/9
	Course Introduction: Intersectional Migration Studies Lecturer: Anna Bredström
	Required readings: Bastia (2014); Lutz (2010); Manalansan (2006); Crenshaw (1991)
	Suggested readings: Collins (1998); McCall (2005); Silvey (2004)
	Tuesday 25/9
	Lecture: Black and Postcolonial Feminism Lecturer: Anna Bredström
	Required readings: Bose (2005); Carby (1982); Lewis and Mills (2003)
	Suggested readings: Ahmed (2011); Combahee River Collective (1977); Mohanty (2003); Truth (1851)
	Wednesday 26/9
	REMESO Seminar, see separate schedule
	Thursday 27/9
	Literature Seminar: Black and Postcolonial Feminism Seminar Leaders: Anna Bredström and Olav Nygård
	Required readings: Collins (2000); Lorde (2007); Mohanty (1984); Mulinari (2007)

40	Måndag 1/10
	Lecture: Nation and Nationalism Lecturer: Peo Hansen
	<i>Required readings:</i> Calhoun (2007, Chapters: 'Introduction', 1, 2, 4, 'Conclusion'); Habermas, pp. 58–112); Hansen (2009); Hobsbawm (1983, pp. 263–307); Wimmer and Schiller (2002)
	Suggested readings: Anderson (2006); Chatterjee (1996)
	<u>Wednesday 3/10</u> REMESO Seminar & Tutorials
	<u>Thursday 4/10</u>
	Literature Seminar: Gender and Nation Seminar Leaders: Anna Bredström & Olav Nygård
	<i>Required readings:</i> McClintock (1995, pp. 352–389); Yuval-Davis (1997, pp. 26–38); Abdou (2017); Wong (2004)
	<u>Friday 5/19</u>
	Advanced Seminar: Feminism, Activism, and Migration Seminar Leader: Lisa-Marie Heimeshoff
	<i>Required readings:</i> Castro Varela and Dhawan (2016); Kerner (2017); Weber (2015; 2016)
	Suggested readings: Farris (2012); Weber (2015)
41	Tuesday 9/10
	Morning: Lecture: How to write a paper Lecturer: Olav Nygård
	Afternoon: Lecture: Theorizing Class in Intersectionality Research Lecturer: Olav Nygård
	Required readings:

	Acker (2006); Wright (2009)
	Wednesday 10/10
	REMESO Seminar, see separate schedule
	Thursday 11/10
	Seminar: Race, Class, Gender Seminar leader: Olav Nygård
	Required readings: Fraser (2005); Skeggs (2005); Gimenez (2001); Wallerstein (1991);
	Suggested readings: Sayer (2005)
42	<u>Monday 15/10</u>
	Morning: Seminar: Queer Migration and Intersectional Perspectives on Sexuality Seminar leader: Anna Bredström
	Required readings: Butler (2008); Chávez (2010); Hossain (2017); Luibhéid (2004); Puar (2013)
	Suggested readings: Ahlstedt (2016); Lewis (2014); Lugones (2007); Vogel (2009)
	Afternoon: Workshop, Part I.
	<u>Tuesday 16/10</u>
	Tutorial: Individual Papers (Olav Nygård) See LISAM for further instructions.
	Wednesday 17/10
	Tutorial: Individual Papers (Olav Nygård) See LISAM for further instructions.
	Afternoon: REMESO Seminar & Tutorials

Thursday 18/10
Workshop, Part II: Intersectional Analysis
Workshop Leader: Olav Nygård
For further instructions on how to prepare for the workshop, see LISAM.
Examination week
Friday 27/10 – Deadline, Individual paper

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Required readings:

- Abdou, L (2017). "Gender nationalism": The new (old) politics of belonging. *Austrian Journal of Political Science*, *46*(1): 83–88.
- Acker, J. (2006). *Class questions feminist answers*. Rowman and Littlefields. Chapter 2: Feminists Theorizing Class Issues and Arguments, pp. 15–44.
- Bastia, T. (2014). Intersectionality, migration and development. *Progress in Development Studies*, 14(3): 237–248.
- Bose, B. (2005) Postcolonial feminisms: Nation, gender and sexualities in India. Feminist Theory, 1: 87–97.
- Butler, J. (2008). Sexual politics, torture, and secular time. British Journal of Sociology, 59(1): 1-23.
- Calhoun, C. (2007). Nations Matter: Culture, History, and the Cosmopolitan Dream. London: Routledge.
- Carby, H. (1982). White Woman Listen! Black Feminism and the Boundaries of Sisterhood.In: Centre for Contemporary Cultural Studies, *The Empire Strikes Back: Race and Racism in Seventies Britain*. London: Hutchinson, pp. 212–235.
- Castro Varela, M. and Dhawan, N. (2016). What Difference Does Difference Make? Diversity, Intersectionality and Transnational Feminist Politics. *Wagadu. A Journal of Transnational Women's and Gender Studies*, 15: 10-37.
- Chávez, K. (2010). Border (In)Securities: Normative and Differential Belonging in LGBTQ and Immigrant Rights Discourse. *Communication & Critical/Cultural Studies*, 7(2): 136–155.
- Collins, P. Hill (2000). Black Feminist Epistemology. In: Collins, P. Hill Black Feminist Thought: Knowledge, Consciousness, and the Politics of Empowerment, New York: Routledge, pp. 251–257.
- Crenshaw, K. (1991). Mapping the margins: Intersectionality, identity politics, and violence against women of color. *Stanford Law Review*, 43: 1241–99.
- Fraser, N. (2005). Mapping the Feminist Imagination: From Redistribution to Recognition to Representation. *Constellations*, *12*(3): 295–307.
- Gimenez, M. (2001). Marxism and Class, Gender and Race: Rethinking the Trilogy, *Race*, *Gender & Class*, 8(2): 23–33. Available at: http://www.colorado.edu/Sociology/gimenez/work/cgr.html
- Habermas, J. (2001). The Postnational Constellation. Cambridge: Polity, pp. 58–112.
- Hansen, P. (2009). Post-national Europe, without cosmopolitan guarantees. *Race & Class*, 50(4): 20–37.
- Hobsbawm, E. J. (1983). Mass-Producing Traditions: Europe 1870–1914. In E. J. Hobsbawm

and Terrence Ranger (eds), *The Invention of Tradition*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 263–307.

- Hossain, A. (2017). The paradox of recognition: hirja, third gender and sexual rights in Bangladesh. *Culture, Health and Sexuality*, DOI: 10.1080/13691058.2017.1317831
- Kerner, I. (2017). Relations of difference: Power and inequality in intersectional and postcolonial feminist theories. *Current Sociology Review*, 65(6), 846-866.
- Lewis, R. and Mills, S. (2005). Introduction. In Lewis, R. (ed.) *Feminist Postcolonial Theory: A Reader*, Routledge, pp. 1–22.
- Lorde, A. (2007) [1980]. Age, Race, Class, and Sex: Women Redefining Difference. In Sister outsider: essays and speeches. Berkeley: Crossing Press, pp. 114–123. (Available at LISAM)
- Luibhéid, E. (2004). Hetronormativity and Immigration Scholarship: A Call for Change. *GLQ: A Journal of Lesbian and Gay Studies*, 10(2): 227-235.
- Lutz, H. (2010). Gender in the Migratory Process. *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies*, *36*(10): 1647–1663.
- Manalansan, M. (2006). Queer Intersections: Sexuality and Gender in Migration Studies. *International Migration Review*, 40(1): 224–49.
- McClintock, A. (1995). No Longer in a Future Heaven: Nationalism, Gender and Race. In *Imperial Leather: Race, Gender, and Sexuality in the Colonial Contest*. New York: Routledge, pp. 352–389.
- Mohanty, C. (1984). Under Western Eyes: Feminist Scholarship and Colonial Discourses, *Boundary*, 2 (12/13): 333–358.
- Mulinari, D. (2007). Women friendly? Understanding gendered racism in Sweden. In Melby, K., Ravn, A. and Carlsson Wetterberg, C. (eds.), *Gender equality and welfare politics in Scandinavia: the limits of political ambition?*. Bristol: Policy, pp. 167–182. (Available at LISAM).
- Puar, J. (2013). Homonationalism As Assemblage: Viral Travels, Affective Sexualities. *Jindal Global Law Review*, 4(2): 23–43.
- Skeggs, B. (2005). The Making of Class and Gender through Visualizing Moral Subject Formation. *Sociology*, *39*(5): 965–82.
- Wallerstein, I. (1991). Class Conflict in the Capitalist World-Economy, In Balibar, E. and Wallerstein, I. (eds.). *Race, Nation, Class: Ambiguous Identities*. London: Verso.
- Weber, B. (2015). Gender, Race, Religion, Faith? Rethinking Intersectionality in German Feminisms. *European Journal of Women's Studies*, 22(1), 22-36.
- Weber, B. (2016). The German Refugee "Crisis" after Cologne: The Race of Refugee Rights. *English Language Notes*, 54 (2): 77-92.
- Wimmer, A. and Schiller, N. (2002). Methodological Nationalism and Beyond Nation-State Building Migration and the Social Sciences. *Global Networks*, 2(4): 301–334.
- Wright, E. O. (2009). Understanding Class: Towards an Integrated Analytical Approach. *New Left Review*, 60: 101-16.
- Wong, Y. (2004). When East Meets West: Nation, Colony, and Hong Kong Women's Subjectivities in Gender and China Development, *Modern China*, 30(2): 259–292.
- Yuval-Davis (1997). *Gender & Nation*. London: SAGE, Chapter 2: Theorizing Gender and Nation, pp. 26–38. (Avilable at LISAM).

Suggested readings:

- Ahlstedt, S. (2016). *The Feeling of Migration: Narratives of Queer Intimacies and Partner Migration*. Linköping: Linköping University.
- Ahmed, L. (2011). The quiet revolution: the veil's resurgence, from the Middle East to

America. New Haven, Conn.: Yale University Press.

- Anderson, B. (2006). Imagined Communities: Reflections on the Origin and Spread of Nationalism. New edition, London: Verso. N. B.
- Chatterjee, P. (1996). Whose Imagined Community?. In Gopal Balakrishnan (ed.), *Mapping the Nation*. London: Verso, pp. 214–25.
- Collins, P. Hill (1998). It's All in the Family: Intersections of Gender, Race and Nation. *Hypatia*, *13*(3): 62–82.
- Combahee River Collective (1977). A Black Feminist Statement, Available online: http://historyisaweapon.com/defcon1/combrivercoll.html
- Farris, S. R. (2012). Femonationalims and the "Regular" Army of Labor Called Women. *History of the Present: A Journal of Critical* History, 2(2), 184-199.
- Lewis, R. (2014). "Gay? Prove It!": The Politics of Queer Anti-Deportation Activism. *Sexualities*, 17 (8): 958–975.
- Lugones, M. (2007). Heterosexualism and the Colonial/ Modern Gender System. *Hypatia*, 22(1): 186–209.
- McCall, L. (2005). The Complexity of Intersectionality. Signs, 30(3): 1771–1800.
- Mohanty, C. (2003). Under Western Eyes' Revisited: Feminist Solidarity through Anticapitalist Struggles. *Signs*, 28(2): 499–535.
- Sayer, A. (2005). *The Moral Significance of Class*, Cambridge University Press, Chapter 4: Concepts of class: clearing the ground, pp. 70-94.
- Silvey, R. (2004). Power, Difference and Mobility: Feminist Advances. *Progress In Human Geography*, 28(4): 490–506.
- Truth, S. (1851). *Ain't I a Woman?* Available online: https://www.nps.gov/wori/learn/historyculture/sojourner-truth.htm
- Weber, B. (2015). Kübra Gümüşay, Muslim Digital Feminism and the Politics of Visuality in Germany. *Feminist Media Studies*, 16(1), 1-16.
- Vogel, K. (2009). The Mother, the Daughter and the Cow: Venezuelan *Transformistas*' Migration to Europe. *Mobilities*, 4(3): 367–387.

SCHEDULE

See time-edit

TEACHERS

Anna Bredström (Course coordinator), <u>anna.bredstrom@liu.se</u>, 011 363242 Peo Hansen, <u>peo.hansen@liu.se</u> Lisa-Marie Heimeshoff, <u>liheimeshoff@uos.de</u> Olav Nygård, <u>olav.nygard@liu.se</u>

EXAMINATION

The course is examined through a written assignment in the form of an individual paper on a topic relevant for the course theme. The paper should be well anchored in the course literature, and end with a list of the references. The paper could either be a theoretical discussion, or you could choose an empirical material that you analyse using relevant course references.

The paper should not exceed 3000 words. The type-face to be used in the paper is Times or Times New Roman, and the spacing should be 1,5. For referencing preferably use either the Oxford, APA or Harvard systems (see the Student manual for further instructions). Please remember to have your name on every page.

Students failing an exam covering either the entire course or part of the course two times are entitled to have a new examiner appointed for the re-examination.

Students who have passed an examination may not retake it in order to improve their grades.

The paper is to be submitted electronically via LISAM. Deadline is Friday the 27th of October.

Examinator is Anna Bredström, assisted by Olav Nygård.

Grading Criteria

In grading the examinations, the programme uses the Erasmus Credit Transfer System, or ECTS. It contains the following grades: A (excellent), B (very good), C (good), D (satisfactory), E (sufficient), FX (fail – some more work required) and F (fail).

Written papers are graded by assessing the quality of the paper in three separate respects.

1) *Language, organisation and formalia.* Teachers look at the treatment of (the English) language and the general ability of the student to make clear and intelligible formulations. We look at the general structure and disposition of the paper. We inquire whether the fundamental questions are formulated clearly, whether there is a correspondence between the purpose, contents and conclusions of the paper, or, in case of a less academic and more essayistic approach, whether the form is adequate to the content and message. Whenever relevant to the assignment, this also includes traditional formalia respects (e.g. how references, footnotes, literature are handled).

2) *Knowledge and understanding*. Teachers assess whether the student shows real insight into the problem chosen. Has she/he understood relevant theories and concepts? Does the paper show that the student is familiar with the relevant literature? We ask questions about correctness (or appropriateness) of terminology and the relevance and correctness of facts, presentations of theories and theses described in the paper. We look at the argumentation. Is anything important missing?

3) *Creativity and critical approach*. Teachers assess the originality and independence reflected in the student's discussion, argumentation and conclusion. Does the student approach the subject matter, methodology and theory in a critical manner? Are there things that are really the student's own inventions? A new original argument? An innovative conclusion? Or a new distinction (which really can be an important thing) or a new theoretical approach?

In each respect, a student can fulfil these criteria (i) with distinction, (ii) satisfactorily, or (iii) insufficiently.

After assessing the examination paper as fulfilling (i) with distinction, (ii) satisfactorily, or (iii) insufficiently the criteria for each of the three respects (1. Language, organisation and

formalia; 2. Knowledge and understanding; 3. Creativity and critical approach), the examiner grades the paper in correspondence with the ECTS grading scale, as follows:

A = With distinction in all three respects

- B = With distinction in two respects and satisfactory in the third
- C = With distinction in one respect and satisfactory in the other two.

D = Satisfactory in all three respects.

E = Satisfactory in "Language, organisation and formalia" as well as "Knowledge and understanding") but insufficient in "Creativity and critical approach".

FX = Insufficient in either "Language, organisation and formalia" or "Knowledge and understanding".

F = Insufficient in both "Language, organisation and formalia" and "Knowledge and understanding".

EXAMINATION CODE: EXAM 7,5 hp ECTS grading scale A-F

PLAGIARISM

Academic research and studies are based on respect for the work of others. Thus, plagiarism is a serious offense against good academic practice. All written examinations and papers handed in by students in the programme are submitted to *Urkund*, which is a plagiarism-checking tool for teachers. Submitted texts are here checked against a very large database of material taken from the internet, published material and student material. A teacher who detects or strongly suspects plagiarism or other serious breaches of academic discipline is obligated to immediately report the student to The Disciplinary Board at Linköping University. If the Board finds that plagiarism or other violations have occurred, the student may be suspended from studies. For further information on plagiarism and good academic practice, please consult the University Library's webpage *NoPlagiat*: http://noplagiat.bibl.liu.se/default.en.asp.